

## **CIL Funding Programme – Consultation Feedback**

West Lancashire Borough Council invited comments on the CIL Funding Programme proposals between 1 October and 30 October 2015. The consultation sought comments on how the CIL monies received 2014-2016 should be spent in 2016/17, and on what projects they should be spent on.

16 responses were received via email, and 32 were made online through the surveymonkey portal. A summary of the comments, and results, of the consultation are outlined through this feedback report, whilst the actual responses received can be viewed through the following appended documents: CFP Email Representations, CFP Surveymonkey.

### **Survey results (from Surveymonkey)**

The survey asked a series of questions. Each question is presented below along with a summary of the responses provided.

**We have put forward three options for how we should spend CIL monies in 2016/17. Option One: Spend most of the monies; Option Two: Spend some, save some; Option Three: Save them all. Which option do you support most?**

80% supported Option 1, 20% supported Option 2 and no respondents supported Option 3.

The majority of people considered that monies should be spent under Option One to ensure that immediate infrastructure improvements can be delivered to communities, particularly at a time when there is a general austerity and cut backs in local authority funding affect delivery through other sources. Under Option One, respondents considered that the monies were being evenly spread across the Borough.

**Do you agree that CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?**

	YES	NO
New allotments in Skelmersdale	83%	17%
New allotments in Burscough	71%	29%
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	77%	23%
Improvements at Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	74%	26%
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	57%	43%

The highest proportion of support was received for new allotments in Skelmersdale, followed by improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk.

The survey asked respondents to justify their choices.

### ***New allotments in Skelmersdale***

There was wide support for the provision of new allotments in Skelmersdale. It was considered by many that there is a demand for allotments across the Borough, including Skelmersdale, and allotments should be provided in the town because of its size and the amount of development that is planned there. It was considered that allotments would help support new development. Allotments would deliver community benefits, health improvements and enhance opportunities to access the outdoors. It would also allow local residents to grow their own food, particularly for those residents that do not have their own gardens. Funding allotments would also help to provide investment in a deprived area.

### ***New allotments in Burscough***

Again, it was considered by many that there is demand for allotments across the Borough and respondents stated that there is a very limited existing provision in Burscough, despite local demand for allotments. It was felt that the provision of allotments would give local people the opportunity to participate in healthy lifestyle activities and access the outdoors. Some respondents considered that Skelmersdale already has a fairly significant allotment provision, and therefore available monies should be prioritised to Burscough. Some considered Burscough should be a higher priority because of the potential new development anticipated through the Yew Tree Farm allocation. Others suggested that CIL should not be used to provide allotments until the Yew Tree Farm proposals have determined whether there should be any allotment provision secured on site from the developer.

### ***Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk***

There was wide support for the provision of additional car parking spaces at the station. Many people stated that the existing car park is in poor condition and there are an insufficient number of car parking spaces at the rail station. It was considered that further parking spaces are needed for rail users and this would help decongest parking on the local roads surrounding the station. Others suggested that car parking provision should be the financial responsibility of the rail service providers.

Some respondents felt that Station Approach improvements should not be a high priority, with sufficient parking facilities already provided. There was a suggestion that better bicycle security facilities should be provided, which would also serve to encourage more sustainable modes of transport to and from the station/public open space and discourage travel by car.

### ***Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve***

Many respondents supported this proposal because it would bring community, health and environmental improvements and allow people to access nature. It was recognised that the site provides a good educational resource but currently access is poor. The proposed works would therefore improve access to all. Others considered that the proposals would not benefit enough people.

### ***Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall***

A number of responses stated that they did not have sufficient knowledge of Halsall to make a comment, but recognised improved community facilities would likely benefit local residents and the sports groups that use the venue. It was emphasised that any extension should only be delivered if a sufficient demand was created because of new development. Others suggested that funding should be provided through alternate means (eg Parish Council fundraising) or questioned the need for the additional facilities.

### ***General comments***

There was some hesitancy towards some of the schemes. One respondent considered all monies should be made available and allocated to Skelmersdale rail station because of the improvements this would bring to the town and the wider area. There were queries raised as to why monies could not be ring fenced so that they are only used in the area where developments have occurred.

### **Council comments**

Strategic CIL monies can be used anywhere across the Borough, as required, to support new development. In accordance with the CIL regulations, the majority of the funds raised (the strategic portion) can be spent anywhere across the Borough. Parish Councils are given 15% of CIL receipts from their area, which is designed to ensure that local infrastructure can be delivered in those areas where the original developments occurred.

Delivery of a Skelmersdale rail link is a priority for WLBC, and LCC, and the Council are working with a range of partners to investigate the feasibility of delivering this rail link. However, a Skelmersdale rail link would be likely to be funded through funding from Central Government and CIL monies would not be required for the rail link itself.

It should be noted that car parking provision at Station Approach would primarily be to serve the public open space, and not to support parking facilities for the rail station. However, the improvements may provide future, additional car parking for Ormskirk train station as more homes are built in and around Ormskirk and demand increases for train services.

If CIL monies were to be allocated for the Halsall Memorial Hall extension, they would only contribute part of the required funding, with over half of the cost provided by the Parish Council through match funding. There are a number of allocated housing sites in Halsall, which the Council expect to see housing delivered on, thereby justifying an extension to support new development.

**Are there any other projects on the IDS that you consider to be a high priority or that you think could be delivered in 2016/17? Please explain your reasoning.**

**Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think could be included on the IDS?**

A number of representations suggested the provision of allotments in Ormskirk, along with traffic improvements to alleviate congestion in the town.

There were calls for CIL monies to be used to provide a new library in Burscough.

There were further suggestions for an extension to Richmond Park pavilion (Burscough) to enable greater use of the park; provision of a zebra crossing at Aughton St, Ormskirk to reduce the danger to pedestrians on a busy road and; delivery of Skelmersdale rail. There were also suggestions for a sports pavilion at Banks, the replacement of missing direction signs in Skelmersdale town centre, loading bays along Liverpool Road South and improved pedestrian/cycle links through the proposed linear parks (Burscough-Ormskirk-Skelmersdale).

#### Council comments

All comments have been noted.

As a result of this consultation, Ormskirk allotments have been added to the IDS (#111).

Some of those suggestions put forward are already contained within the IDS, including Burscough library, an extension to Richmond Park pavilion, a zebra crossing at Aughton Street and Skelmersdale rail. However, if the Council are to spend CIL monies sooner rather than later, we must focus on those projects that can be delivered in short timescales and can be afforded using existing CIL receipts.

Some proposals put forward in the consultation are not considered to be infrastructure improvements for the purposes of spending CIL monies (loading bays, direction signs) and so cannot be delivered through CIL or included on the IDS. Some proposals (Burscough-Ormskirk linear parks) are already included on the IDS (see following list), but are expected to be delivered by developers as part of planning applications and through S106 monies, rather than using CIL monies.

- Ormskirk allotments - #111
- Burscough library - #22
- Richmond Park pavilion extension - #79
- Zebra crossing, Aughton St - #104
- Skelmersdale rail - #45
- Sports pavilion, Banks - #93
- Burscough-Ormskirk linear park - #11
- Ormskirk-Skelmersdale linear park - #12
- Ormskirk traffic movement strategy, Ormskirk - #4

### **Email representations**

Email responses were much wider in content than the survey responses, although not all points raised were directly relevant to the CIL Funding Programme consultation.

Registrations of support were received for Option One and Option Two. One respondent queried the use of strategic CIL monies to provide facilities, such as allotments, which in many areas are provided by parish rather than borough councils. This is of particular relevance to those areas that have already received CIL neighbourhood monies. There were also queries raised in relation to certain areas benefitting from CIL monies when there had not been any significant developments in those areas.

The Council received two new formal bids (Ormskirk allotments and Burscough towpath improvements) along with a detailed bid and costings in relation to Halsall Memorial Hall extension. Downholland Parish Council gave its support to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve because it would benefit the locality. There was also support registered for the delivery of the River Douglas linear park (Hesketh Bank).

### **Council comments**

All comments have been noted.

CIL has been introduced to raise funds from new developments in order to provide or improve infrastructure required to support new development in the Borough. In accordance with the CIL regulations, the majority of the funds raised (the strategic portion) can be spent anywhere across the Borough. However, to ensure local infrastructure improvements can be made directly in the area where a development occurred, Parish Councils are given 15% of those CIL monies collected in their area, known as the neighbourhood portion.

The development of allotments is supported in the draft WLBC Leisure Strategy and the Local Plan. Allotments are included on the R123 list as strategic green infrastructure and therefore the Council support the delivery of allotments through CIL funding – whether

using strategic or local portions. It is up to each individual parish council to determine how they use the neighbourhood portion of CIL monies that they receive (within certain guidelines).

The Council can use CIL monies to fund the provision of, or improvements to, infrastructure which is required to support new development across the Plan period. Whilst Halsall has had limited residential development so far, the parish has a number of allocated sites (Fine Jane's Farm, New Cut Lane) and sites with planning permission which the Council expect to come forward in the future.

The information contained within the new and updated formal bids have been added to the IDS.

### **Actions resulting from consultation**

- Inclusion of Ormskirk allotments on IDS
- Inclusion of Burscough towpath improvements on IDS
- Update project details for Halsall Memorial Hall Extension on IDS
- Update of IDS to be issued with final CIL Funding Programme

### **Recommendations resulting from consultation**

Whilst the majority of consultation respondents supported Option 1 (spend most of the monies), officers consider, on reflection of the comments received, that a hybrid of Option 1 and Option 2 is the most appropriate use of the monies at this time. Our justification is set out below.

- Given that the Council have a number of larger, significant projects which it wishes to deliver in the future, officers consider that some of the CIL monies should be saved towards such schemes.
- Some consultees considered that both the Burscough allotments and Halsall Memorial Hall extension schemes could be funded in the future by the Parish Council's neighbourhood portion as local, major allocated sites receive planning permission and commence development. Officers agree that CIL strategic monies could therefore be better allocated to other projects which may not receive alternative or sufficient sources of funding.
- With regard to the provision of allotments in Burscough, officers agree that it would be prudent to await further details on the delivery of allotments on the Yew Tree Farm allocated site before agreeing to fund any further allotments in Burscough using CIL strategic monies.

- In relation to Halsall Memorial Hall, officers also agree that the need for an extension should be reconsidered once the locally allocated sites begin to be built-out, so as to ensure that a demand for these additional facilities exists as a result of the new developments.
- Officers do not consider that the Ormskirk allotments scheme can be delivered by March 2017, or that the scheme is required to support current levels of new development, and so this will be retained on the IDS for consideration for funding in future years. It may also be feasible for the scheme to be funded from future neighbourhood portion receipts. (Note that as Ormskirk does not have a parish council, any neighbourhood portions would be spent by the Borough Council in consultation with the local community).

Subsequently, of the five shortlisted projects included in the consultation, officers recommendations are that CIL monies should be spent on the following projects in 2016/17:

- Skelmersdale allotments
- Station Approach Open Space, Ormskirk
- Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

The remainder of the CIL monies will then be retained for expenditure in future years. Appropriate projects will be identified each year, in line with the CIL Governance and Expenditure Framework, for funding in the following financial year.

## **Appendices**

## CIL Funding Programme Consultation (October 2015) - Email representations

Rep Number	1
Name	George Pratt
Organisation	-
Comments	<p>The options you give are far too prescriptive for the purpose. The whole point of CIL was to improve local infrastructure in the same area as the development. The amount of CIL due to be received for Yew Tree farm, for instance could be used to provide a new library, or a youth facility, or any of a hundred other projects. The reaction by residents of Burscough, if it were known that the unpopular development was providing funding for schemes in different parts of the borough while they suffer the disruption to their day to day activities, would no doubt be profound dissatisfaction with the Authority. This would be emphasised when it becomes more widely known that developments in Skelmersdale are zero rated as far as CIL charging is concerned.</p> <p>I understood that the CIL payments were to be split between County (20%), Parish(15%), Borough(65%). Is it likely, then, that funds for any of the projects you list would ever come about in a single year, seeing the forecast build rate is less than 50 houses on any development per year?</p> <p>In addition CIL is not payable on Affordable Housing, which will be 35% of YTF development, so if it is assumed that 35 houses are built on the site in 2016, only 23 will be chargeable. Let's assume that the GIA of these average out to 110 sq mtrs the CIL income would be £215050. LCC would receive £43,000, Burscough Parish Council would get £32,000, with WLBC retaining £140,000. Given that this income split would continue each year until 2027, it would make far more sense to undertake one larger project each year <b><u>in the immediate area of the development</u></b>, rather than diluting the impact of the funding over a wide area. In the above scenario, each of the 5 projects you list would get £28,000, which would not be enough to purchase land in Burscough for allotments, and equally unlikely to be able to fully fund the other projects.</p> <p>I would earnestly urge you to reconsider your proposals in light of the above.</p>
WLBC Response	<p>CIL has been introduced to raise funds from new developments in order to provide or improve infrastructure required to support new development in the area. In accordance with the CIL regulations, the majority of the funds raised (the strategic portion) can be spent anywhere across the Borough. Indeed, CIL monies can also be spent outside of the Borough if that infrastructure would help support the development of the district. However, to ensure local infrastructure improvements can be made directly in the area where a development occurred, Parish Councils are given 15% of those CIL monies collected in their area, known as the neighbourhood portion.</p> <p>Burscough Parish Council have already been awarded the neighbourhood portion for 2014/15 receipts, and can choose what they wish to spend the money on providing it is in accordance with the requirements of the CIL regulations. Further neighbourhood portions will be awarded following receipt of any monies. Parish Councils can also choose to allocate their funding to larger infrastructure schemes in their area, by returning their monies to the Council to spend on strategic projects.</p> <p>The charging rate was informed using viability evidence, and approved by an independent Planning Inspector in 2014. As a zero rated area, Skelmersdale will not benefit from any neighbourhood portions, but we are entitled to spend strategic monies across the Borough which will include Skelmersdale.</p>

	<p>The CIL regulations specify that CIL monies must be split as follows: Administration (5%), Local (Parish) Councils (15%) and Strategic Infrastructure (80%). There is no requirement to pass any monies to County Council, although we work closely with them in their capacity as an infrastructure provider (transport, education, community facilities) to identify required infrastructure schemes and to determine how CIL monies should be spent.</p> <p>A CIL charge is due from a development following commencement, with payments set in line with an adopted instalments policy. CIL revenue is NOT based on the number of houses built per year and so such numbers are irrelevant to CIL funding. As commencements are outside the control of Planning, we can only estimate when a development is likely to commence and subsequently the CIL revenue we can expect to collect. Nevertheless, we expect to collect a significant amount of funds over the Local Plan period based on the delivery requirements of our Local Plan. The CIL Funding Programme explains our options for spending CIL, including saving monies to build up larger funding for bigger projects. A CIL Funding Programme will be prepared annually based on that revenue which we have collected, and anticipate expecting in the forthcoming year.</p> <p>The Council are aware of the infrastructure requirements that will be created through the Yew Tree Farm development, and these have been identified through the Masterplan, the IDP and IDS. The developer(s) will be required to deliver some infrastructure through any planning approval. CIL will provide the opportunity to fund additional infrastructure but this will be assessed in line with all other schemes within the IDS at the relevant time and prioritised accordingly. The Parish Council will also have the opportunity to spend 15% of the CIL receipts collected in their area.</p>
--	---

Rep Number	2
Name	Phil Morley
Organisation	-
Comments	<p>MY THOUGHTS ARE.</p> <p>MORE AND MORE PEOPLE ARE NOW DECIDING TO MAKE THIS AREA THE PLACE THEY WANT TO LIVE AND BRING UP A FAMILY IN, JUST LOOK AT THE FUTURE HOUSE BUILDING COMMITMENTS TO THE GOVERNMENT .</p> <p>FOR THE NEW FAMILIES MOVING IN TO THE AREA TRANSPORTATION IS A PROBLEM, A TRAIN NETWORK SERVICE THAT MAKES TRAVEL TO WORK IN PRESTON / LIVERPOOL A BIG PROBLEM, DIESEL - ELECTRIC IS NOT THE ANSWER, THE SOLUTION BRING BACK THE CURVES IN BURSCOUGH AND ELECTRIFY THEM. NOT THE COUNCILS PROBLEM I HEAR BUT ITS A PROBLEM THAT YOU COULD INFLUENCE IN SOLVING, LETS CALL IT AN IMPROVED INFRASTRUCTURE.</p> <p>ALLOTMENTS MAY SATISFY A SMALL PERCENTAGE OF THE LOCAL POPULATION BUT A LARGER PARK BIG ENOUGH FOR PEOPLE THAT DON'T OR EVEN DO DRIVE CAN ENJOY MEETING UP WITH FRIENDS AND REMEMBER MORE AND MORE CHILDREN ARE NOW BEING CARED FOR IN THE WORKING DAY BY GRANDPARENTS THAN EVER BEFORE, WHAT A NICE PLACE IT WOULD BE TO TAKE THEM.</p>

	<p>AND</p> <p>ONE LAST IDEA A PERSON THAT COULD PATROL AND INFLUENCE DRIVERS NOT TO PARK ON PAVEMENTS, THINK OF THE MUMS AND GRANDPARENTS WITH PRAMS THAT HAVE TO LEAVE THE PAVEMENTS AND TAKE TO THE ROAD JUST TO CARRY ON WITH THEIR JOURNEY</p> <p>JUST A COUPLE OF IDEAS NOW DOUBT THAT WILL BE END UP FILE 13,</p>
WLBC Response	<p>The electrification of the Burscough Curves is already listed on our IDS (#25) as an infrastructure scheme that the Council would like to see delivered. However, delivery is dependent on a number of factors, including the availability of significant levels of funding and the capacity of infrastructure providers, and is therefore a long term aspiration for the Council.</p> <p>Allotments have been identified as a requirement in the West Lancashire Leisure Strategy and in the Local Plan, and are listed within the IDS. The IDS also contains a number of projects connected to providing linear parks, or providing improvements to existing parks which are required as a result of new development. S106 monies have also, over recent years, contributed greatly to improving parks and open spaces. The CFP provides our justification for the consideration of funding at this time.</p> <p>Cars parking on pavements is a civil matter. It is not a consideration for infrastructure and therefore cannot be funded through CIL.</p>

Rep Number	3
Name	Warren Hilton
Organisation	Highways England
Comments	Thank you for letting us know at Highways England about this consultation. Having looked at the documents, there are no comments that we wish to make.
WLBC Response	Comments noted

Rep Number	4
Name	Stella Sass
Organisation	-
Comments	In my opinion the money should be allocated to Option 1 new allotments in Skelmersdale and Burscough
WLBC Response	Comments noted


Rep Number	5
Name	Margaret Atherton (Clerk)
Organisation	Downholland Parish Council
Comments	Downholland would like to give its support to the project suggested for The Nature Reserve boardwalk and feel that this would be an asset to the locality.
WLBC Response	Support noted.

Rep Number	6
Name	Ian T Cropper (Clerk)
Organisation	Hesketh-with-Becconsall Parish Council

Comments	<p>I refer to your recent letter regarding your “Options and Shortlisted Projects” for the use of WLBC CIL monies; this was considered by this Council at their October meeting earlier this week.</p> <p>My Members were somewhat surprised that some of the projects related to facilities that would normally be provided by Parish rather than District Councils. In particular, Allotments in Burscough and Halsall Memorial Hall Extension.</p> <p>In line with most Parishes, we have provided a large number of Allotment Gardens (75+) and to date have in excess of £100,000 invested in our allotment site. This has been financed entirely by locally sourced money and we feel this should be the case in Burscough - Burscough CPC will clearly have significant funds themselves from their own CIL resources especially as Yew Tree farm progresses.</p> <p>We find it hard to believe that the amount of development in Halsall since the introduction of CIL funding has made any significant demand on their village hall and in any event this should again be financed from local funds.</p> <p>It appears to this Council that the only sensible course of action at this early stage is to save all funds for future years until more needful projects caused by development come to light.</p>
WLBC Response	<p>The development of allotments is supported in the WLBC Leisure Strategy and the Local Plan. Allotments are included on the R123 list as strategic green infrastructure and therefore the Council support the delivery of allotments through CIL funding – whether using strategic or local portions. It is up to each individual parish council to determine how they use their CIL monies.</p> <p>The Council can use CIL monies to fund the provision of, or improvements to, infrastructure which is required to support new development across the Plan period. Whilst Halsall has had limited residential development so far, the parish has a number of allocated sites (Fine Janes Farm, New Cut Lane) and sites with planning permission which we expect to come forward, and as a result will place additional demand on the area.</p> <p>Comments noted.</p>

Rep Number	7
Name	Gillian Laybourn
Organisation	Historic England
Comments	Thank you for consulting Historic England on the above document. At this stage we have no comments to make on its content.
WLBC Response	Comments noted.

Rep Number	8
Name	David Cheetham
Organisation	-
Comments	I have recently voted for option 2 on the consultation by completing the on line form. On it I propose that money be spent on a project not currently listed, namely the replacement of missing and faded direction signs and provision of new ones in the more recently developed parts of the town.

	<p>Visitors to the town are constantly avoiding the use of the footpath system and on many occasions I have been asked direction by people who are lost! Before all the trees grew it was easy to find ones way around the town with the help of the SDC maps. These were freely available and the signs legible. As trees have matured it is no longer possible to see "destinations"!</p> <p>I attach two photographs , taken outside the Co-op Bank close to Whelmar house that show the signs to which I refer. Strangely the LCC has put finger post Public Footpath signs found the edge of the town in Whalley and on Stannanought road but it is totally unclear as to how these are reached from within the town.</p> 
WLBC Response	<p>To be considered for CIL funding, proposals must be for infrastructure required to support new development. We do not consider that the replacement of existing signage is necessary to support new development, and therefore it cannot be considered for CIL funding. We may consider new signage which serves to support new developments, but a clear need must be justified. We consider that there are more appropriate and necessary projects to deliver at present which have been justified through the CFP.</p>

Rep Number	9
Name	Dave Bond
Organisation	Halsall Parish Council
Comments	Detailed proposal for Halsall Memorial Hall extension submitted.
WLBC Response	Updated on the IDS

Rep Number	10
Name	Graham Fairhurst
Organisation	West Lancashire Light Railway Trust
Comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the CIL Programme document.</p> <p>Our comments recognise that with a new initiative like CIL it is desirable to start spending money and demonstrate to communities the benefits that derive from it</p>

	<p>rather than simply collect the money. We are very encouraged that the Borough seems to have a robust CIL regime stating what the money will be spent on alongside a robust Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). With this type of programme, there is a requirement for active project management with adequate resource. At present, from our position as a voluntary sector stakeholder, we are not clear how this is taking place along with the process to mature and bring forward projects which are currently waiting because of perceived uncertainties.</p> <p>Our first comment therefore is to recommend that the IDP be handled as a longer-term programme of projects and be used to steer the use of CIL income more proactively and strategically. By this, we mean that the use of CIL, where possible, be used to lever in funding from other external sources rather than to top up project funding where another party has already indicated a willingness to provide initial funding. Early commitment to the use of CIL should be used to influence other stakeholders and thereby reduce perceived project uncertainties. We assume that the 5% top slicing of the CIL income is to cover for this type of project and programme management. Having said that, we do recognise that in 'Year 1' of any programme a focus will naturally be on the easiest projects to get delivered.</p> <p>The focus of the remainder of our response is largely related to one project in the IDP and the CIL project list, namely the River Douglas Linear Park and we conclude with our recommendation on spend in the 2015/2016 CIL Programme.</p> <p>The WLBC Local Plan has identified a major development site at Hesketh Bank (and just into Tarleton) as a Rural Development Opportunity (RDO) for mixed use re-development. This covers the former brickworks and some adjacent greenfield land. Last autumn, a major housing developer secured outline planning permission. Detailed studies and assessment work is now taking place ahead of the submission of a reserved matter application.</p> <p>The locality is also covered by a planning policy for the creation of a linear country park and significant parts of the RDO have the status of Biological Heritage Site (BHS) and the protection of Tree Preservation Order (TPO). A Steering Group under the leadership of WLBC (with both chair and secretary) has been working for the past 10 years to secure the implementation of the Park. This Group includes representatives of: Lancashire County Council, Chorley Borough Council, Hesketh with Beconsall Parish Council, Tarleton Parish Council, the Environment Agency, the Canal and River Trust, Lancashire Wildlife Trust and the West Lancashire Light Railway Trust. These have all invested heavily in terms of time and in some cases funding to achieve the project goals. In 2010 the Steering Group commissioned a feasibility study from specialist consultants Gillespies. This looked at how the Park could be configured and delivered. The report recommended delivery through enabling development and showed the importance of landscape, wildlife and the special local heritage to the purpose of the Park, including the extension and development of the West Lancashire Light Railway to make a thriving park with a strong stakeholder base and identity. Gillespies report especially highlighted the importance of the former brickworks site as the key gateway to the Park. Without this gateway and visitor facilities (such as car parking, toilets and interpretation), the riverbank land to the east is very difficult of access and the Park would miss most of its purpose as a quality amenity. Within the development of the Hesketh Bank Village Plan, which was carried out with extensive public engagement and consultation, the Park was a particular theme and one that received strong support.</p> <p>In June 2104 at a meeting of the River Douglas Park Steering Group, the West Lancashire Light Railway Trust presented proposals for development of the Railway and the provision of visitor facilities for the Park on a co-located/joint use basis. These proposals comprise: the extension of the Railway to the riverbank so as to serve Beconsall Old Church and the riverbank footpaths, the creation of a heritage centre and learning</p>
--	---

	<p>facility together with visitor facilities for the River Douglas Linear Park (including a cafe, toilets and interpretation of the Park and of local heritage – the old brickworks, the former port and former Southport-Preston Railway). The footprint need for the project accords with the developer's thinking around redevelopment of the site. The Steering Group endorsed these proposals and, at the meeting, the WLBC planner recommended that these proposals be submitted as a pre-application enquiry to test the robustness of them and to establish planning credibility. This was done later in 2014. The pre-application enquiry looked at the proposals against national and local planning policy and the overall site redevelopment. The proposals received a very positive response from the planners in relation to these matters; that they showed good design and that they would complement and not prejudice the overall re-development. Whilst it was clear the proposals were robust, the planners recommended two further pieces of work be carried out to give further confidence in them. These were studies to look into the impacts of the project on: the ecological issues within the BHS and the area covered by the TPO. These two pieces of work were subsequently undertaken by specialist consultants and both have shown that the project will have positive impacts on the BHS and the TPO and that the few negative impacts are stated to be minor and fully capable of mitigation. The project concept and content also accord with NPPF and WLBC Local Plan policies for sustainable development and sustainable rural tourism and with the new, draft Lancashire Visitor Economy Strategy.</p> <p>The project is therefore mature and deliverable. Planners have also indicated that it can contribute toward the key goal of mixed use redevelopment for the RDO and avoid this simply being a housing scheme.</p> <p>For sound regeneration of the overall site, it will be essential for the implementation of these 'gateway' visitor facilities to proceed at the same time as the new housing is constructed.</p> <p>The River Douglas Linear Park is a project within WLBC's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and is on the Borough's CIL Regulation 123 list.</p> <p>The development of the visitor facilities for the Park and the development of the Railway is a project that will require substantial external funding and detailed discussions have therefore taken place with the Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) through this year. HLF spent a full day on site, meeting the various stakeholders (including the Borough) and have indicated that they like the project a lot. However, they would like to see other stakeholders actively engaged and have indicated that they always view a measure of match funding very positively. An obvious source of match funding is from CIL.</p> <p>It is likely that a detailed planning application for the new housing development will be submitted at the end of 2015 or early in 2016 and that the site redevelopment work could then proceed quite rapidly during 2016/2017. This development will itself generate a very substantial CIL receipt. However, there will be a 'lag' in the receipt and therefore mobilisation of this CIL income. As this is a large development, the CIL also might be received in stages over a period of a few years. This could well mean that the needs for initial funding for the Park will be difficult to find from the CIL receipt from the site development itself even though this will be substantial.</p> <p>The ability to commit CIL early to the Park is probably going to be essential to give confidence to other stakeholders and also to generate match funding from other external sources. Clearly once a commitment has been made, then other aspects of the Park could be funded later-on from CIL generated by the development within the RDO site itself as this comes on stream. However, the ability to give the project up-front confidence from the general CIL fund' could be critical to successful delivery and certainly could also make a difference in the mobilisation of substantial funding from</p>
--	---

	<p>other sources.</p> <p>Therefore, in the light of the importance of this Linear Park, it is suggested that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not all of the current CIL fund be spent on other projects immediately. This is not to suggest that these projects are themselves not important, but rather that deferment take place to protect a reasonable CIL balance in the fund against needs in Hesketh Bank. The deferred projects could then take place once more money was in the CIL fund and/or there was greater clarity over the initial funding needs for the Linear Park together with an overall funding profile.</li> <li>2. That the River Douglas Linear Park be specifically identified as a project which the Borough intends to commit CIL funding at the front end (potentially in 2016/2017) so as to give confidence to stakeholders and to create leverage on other external funding.</li> </ol> <p>It is therefore the view of the West Lancashire Light Railway Trust that Option 2 or 3 in the Consultation Document be adopted.</p> <p>As these are very early days in the CIL regime, and in view of the relatively small difference in outgoings between Options 2 and 3, it could be sensible to implement Option 2 to demonstrate that CIL monies are being used already for projects which have a public good.</p> <p>The CIL consultation document itself identifies the River Douglas Linear Park as one of the most significant upcoming projects that will require need some funding from CIL. It would therefore be extremely unfortunate if it was found this project had to move forward rapidly, but met a situation where the CIL fund was at that time empty and/or CIL monies were not available as match funding to 'gear-in' funding from other sources.</p> <p>In setting out the above, I wish to make it clear that the development of the Railway is not seeking funding from CIL. Development (and moving the Railway into a long-term sustainable position) is dependent on the Linear Park proceeding and being implemented in a way which would complement our aims. Also, the discussions which have taken place with HLF have been on the basis of seeking HLF funding for co-located, potentially joint use visitor facilities (i.e. for both the Park and Railway). Through appropriate project configuration it will be possible to clearly demonstrate that CIL funding within the overall scheme would be applied to the Register 123 content.</p> <p>I would be happy to meet to clarify any of the matters presented in this consultation response. Also, I would be happy to begin to look at a framework for delivery and the long-term management of the River Douglas Linear Park with the Borough and other stakeholders.</p>
WLBC Response	<p><b>Comments noted.</b></p> <p>The Council do not expect that CIL can fund all of the projects identified through the IDP and IDS and instead it is recognised that CIL is designed to lever in match funding for the majority of schemes.</p> <p>The River Douglas linear park is listed on the IDS (#10). The linear park route is in multiple ownerships, one of which relates to a major development site which must come forward to deliver a key section of the route. A detailed proposal needs to be worked up and costed before any delivery can commence or before an amount of CIL funding can be considered. The Council will continue to work with delivery partners, including Lancashire County Council, and stakeholders to deliver the linear park.</p>

Rep Number	11
Name	Anneli Harrison
Organisation	Office of Rail and Road (ORR)
Comments	The Office of Rail and Road has no comment to make on this particular document.
WLBC Response	Comments noted.

Rep Number	12
Name	Anne-Sophie Bonton
Organisation	Lancashire County Council
Comments	<p>The West Lancashire Infrastructure delivery Scheme contains over 100 potential projects, but a large majority are not deliverable within the next year. However, many that are not deliverable now are still required and may be deliverable in the medium term (1-5 years) or long term (beyond 5 years). You have rightly identified the need to balance funding for appropriate and deliverable projects now against saving CIL monies to build a larger fund for bigger projects in the future.</p> <p>Three options for spending CIL monies in 2016/17 have been identified by your council.</p> <p>Under the second option, a large portion of the “strategic” CIL monies can be saved for spending on projects in future years and 2 projects will be prioritised for CIL funding in 2016/17.</p> <p>You will be aware that in the next few years West Lancashire is likely to see major projects taken forward mainly in highway and transport or flood defence. It seems, therefore, prudent to save a significant proportion of the available money for future years, in order to maximise the chance to secure major essential infrastructure projects. Some of them are identified in the West Lancashire Highway and Transport Masterplan.</p> <p>The 2 projects identified under option 2 are: New allotments in Skelmersdale and the Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve. They both carry strong health benefits which the County Council value. Skelmersdale is located within a regeneration priority area and the County Council supports proposals that would bring benefits to this area. The Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve is a Biological Heritage Site (BHS). Lancashire county council supports positive approach towards conservation and enhancement of BHS. The County Council consider the choice of these 2 projects sensible.</p> <p>For the reasons set out above, option 2 seems to be the most appropriate option to take and is the one that the County Council support.</p>
WLBC Response	Support for Option 2 noted so as to save a significant proportion of the available money for future years in order to maximise the chance to secure major essential infrastructure projects. Under Option 2, use of monies for Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve and Skelmersdale allotments are supported

Rep Number	13
Name	Kate Wheeler
Organisation	Natural England
Comments	<p>Natural England has no specific comments to make on the draft CIL programme, however would like to make the following general comments, which we hope are helpful.</p> <p>Natural England is not a service provider, nor do we have detailed knowledge of infrastructure requirements of the area concerned. However, we note that the National</p>

	<p>Planning Policy Framework Para 114 states “Local planning authorities should set out a strategic approach in their Local Plans, planning positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure.”</p> <p>We view CIL as playing an important role in delivering such a strategic approach. As such we advise that the council gives careful consideration to how it intends to meet this aspect of the NPPF, and the role of the CIL in this. In the absence of a CIL approach to enhancing the natural environment, we would be concerned that the only enhancements to the natural environment would be ad hoc, and not deliver a strategic approach, and that as such the local plan may not be consistent with the NPPF.</p> <p>Potential infrastructure requirements may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to natural greenspace.</li> <li>• Allotment provision.</li> <li>• Infrastructure identified in the local Rights of Way Improvement Plan.</li> <li>• Infrastructure identified by any Local Nature Partnerships and or BAP projects.</li> <li>• Infrastructure identified by any AONB management plans.</li> <li>• Infrastructure identified by any Green infrastructure strategies.</li> <li>• Other community aspirations or other green infrastructure projects (e.g. street tree planting).</li> <li>• Infrastructure identified to deliver climate change mitigation and adaptation.</li> <li>• Any infrastructure requirements needed to ensure that the Local Plan is Habitats Regulation Assessment compliant (further discussion with Natural England will be required should this be the case.)</li> </ul>
WLBC Response	<b>General comments about CIL noted.</b>

Rep Number	14
Name	Debbie Fifer
Organisation	Canal & Rivers Trust
Comments	<p>The Trust has no comment to make on the current shortlisted projects but would wish to be kept informed of ongoing work in respect of CIL within West Lancashire.</p> <p>As you may be aware, the Canal &amp; River Trust owns, manages and maintains the Leeds and Liverpool Canal which extends to a length of approximately 40 kilometres within West Lancashire including the Rufford Branch, along with the associated towpaths, bridges, locks, feeder channels and other historic waterway infrastructure. In addition, it manages the Millennium Ribble Link which connects the Lancaster Canal to the Leeds and Liverpool Canal and the wider inland waterway network via the River Douglas, Ribble Estuary and Savick Brook.</p> <p>Waterways are multi-functional assets and should be considered under a number of different categories of infrastructure as defined in the provisions for the purposes of the Community Infrastructure Levy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• green infrastructure and open space;</li> <li>• sustainable transport infrastructure; and</li> <li>• part of the infrastructure supporting flood alleviation, drainage and water supply.</li> </ul> <p>It is important to recognise that significant new developments in the vicinity of the canal network place extra liabilities and burdens upon the waterway infrastructure, particularly as a result of the use of the waterway and towpath as a form of open space and as a sustainable transport route. In addition there is often an increased burden in terms of ongoing maintenance costs for maintaining an attractive ‘waterway setting’, for</p>

	<p>example the removal of litter from the water and maintenance of the towpath.</p> <p>Proximity to a waterway can add value to new developments but it must be recognised that new developments can have an enormous impact on our budgets and it is essential that appropriate contributions continue to be secured from developers where appropriate in order to maintain and improve the condition of the infrastructure, whether through CIL or the use of Section 106 agreements.</p>
WLBC Response	Comments noted

Rep Number	15
Name	Nick Smith
Organisation	Canal & Rivers Trust
Comments	<p>Please find an attached proforma relating to scheme 76 - Burscough - Parbold Towpath Improvements as identified on the IDS providing much more detail on the intended outcomes from the project.</p> <p>See Appendix 1</p>
WLBC Response	Project already listed on the IDS under #76. Due to the length of canal towpath relating to this project, the scheme has been split with Burscough-Parbold (#76) and Burscough only (#112). Details updated on the IDS.

## Appendix 1

### West Lancashire Infrastructure Projects

Please complete this proforma to provide updated information in respect of your infrastructure project(s) already listed on the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule (IDS). Please submit a new proforma for any new projects you would like us to consider adding to the IDS.

If we do not receive completed proformas, or information is incomplete, it may make it difficult for us to include your project(s) on the shortlist of deliverable infrastructure projects, or may result in the project being removed from the IDS.

**Name of organisation:** Canal & River Trust

Project name	<b>Burscough Towpath Improvements</b>
Project description	<p>The project as proposed will deliver approximately 1.3km of towpath improvements and environmental enhancement along this popular stretch of the Leeds Liverpool Canal. Currently the towpath to the east of Burscough Village between the Wharf and Wheat Lane, is in walkable and cycleable but poor condition. The aim is to improve an important part of West Lancashire's Green Infrastructure resulting in a facility which is useable on a year round basis but which also provides a haven for wildlife helping develop the canal side visitor economy in Burscough.</p> <p>The surfacing will be of a similar construction to what has previously been delivered west of Burscough Bridge and more recently on the Rufford Branch, adjacent to Rufford Old Hall. It will be suitable for cycling as well as walking.</p> <p>The towpath is used by the Pier to Pier Cycle Route from Wigan to Preston and by one of the leisure cycle routes created by the LSTF VISIT Project.</p> <p>The project would be a legacy project for Burscough's bicentenary highlighting its canal heritage.</p>
Proposed location	Burscough Wharf to Glovers Swing Bridge (Wheat Lane)

Is the project already on the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule?	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Number 76; Burscough - Parbold Towpath Improvements.</p>
Has this project been started?	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
Has this project been completed?  <i>If completed, there is no need to continue with this form.</i>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

## STRATEGIC PLANS AND CIL

Is the project identified within a relevant local strategy? Eg. Local Plan, LTP3, Leisure Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please provide details	<p>Policy EN3 of the Local Plan – Provision of Green Infrastructure and Open Recreation Space – supports the delivery of this project. Within the plan it is noted: It is vital that the right infrastructure is in place to support future growth in the Borough, and this includes green infrastructure. There is a growing and compelling body of evidence substantiating the potential for green infrastructure and open space to contribute to the economic, social and environmental well-being of individuals and society. It can help facilitate high quality accessible landscapes, and bring the natural world into every neighbourhood, providing benefits for individuals and community health and well-being.</p> <p>The Local Transport Plan identifies one of its 7 Transport Priorities as – Providing safe, reliable, convenient and affordable transport alternatives to the car. The overall principle is to provide safe and convenient new infrastructure for walking and cycling.</p> <p>The canal towpath is part of the proposed West Lancashire Wheel identified in the draft West Lancashire Economic Strategy.</p>
Does the project help the Council achieve its corporate priorities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please tick which priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protect and improve the environment <input type="checkbox"/> Work to create opportunities for and retain good quality jobs <input type="checkbox"/> Combat crime and the fear of crime <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provide opportunities for leisure and culture and healthier communities <input type="checkbox"/> Improve and deliver housing, including affordable housing
Please provide details	<p>We believe that canals are enablers and can help to contribute to key policy issues that many government organisations are seeking to address. They are cultural and environmental assets that provide a sense of place and history and provide opportunities to enhance and improve health and well-being. The canal helps to support tourism businesses in the area.</p>
Does the project fall under the infrastructure listed under the Regulation 123 list?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No  <i>A copy of the R123 is attached/enclosed</i>
Please state which infrastructure type(s)	<p>Blue Green Infrastructure.</p>
Does the project meet a local need or demand that has arisen or been exacerbated as a result of new development?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please provide details	<p>The Parish Council has evidence that this area has long been the subject of negative comments regarding its condition from members of the public.</p>

	Looking forward at the level of planned new housing development proposed for Burscough in the current Local Plan period we envisage that use and demands on the towpath will increase. The canal is a huge 'pull' factor in the attractiveness of Burscough for new residents and it is anticipated that into the future more people will use the towpath for leisure purposes as well as for accessing local town centre services.
--	---

## WIDER BENEFITS AND IMPLICATIONS

Are there specific implications, risks or negative impacts if this project does not come forward?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategic risks / impacts <input type="checkbox"/> Major risks / impacts <input type="checkbox"/> Minor risks/impacts <input type="checkbox"/> No risks/impacts
Please provide details	<p>The canal is part of a wider strategic network that defines many parts of West Lancashire – many of the towns along the route sprang up as a direct result of the canal. The ambition is to provide a year round facility that people can use and enjoy by improving the canal towpath – the space where the majority of activity takes place along the canal corridor. This is being achieved on a piecemeal basis at the moment as funding opportunities present themselves. The impact of an increase in use but no specific improvements would be that the towpath deteriorates further and becomes less useable.</p> <p>The poor surface of the towpath deters usage in winter or in wet weather at present. Use of the Pier to Pier Cycle Route from Wigan to Southport is constrained by the surface of the towpath.</p>
Has the project already benefitted from stakeholder engagement / discussions with the local community?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please provide details	<p>As mentioned above, a recent meeting with the Parish Council highlighted that the current condition of the towpath through Burscough has been highlighted as an issue to be addressed by members of the local community.</p> <p>Organisations who have already expressed an interest in getting actively involved in the project are: Burscough Heritage Group, H &amp; A Barge Restoration Project, Burscough Wharf management, Tree Bee Society, Burscough Cricket Club, Dream Makers Outdoors (disability opportunity / employment) and Ormskirk Prince Trust.</p>
Does the proposal have a positive impact on equality?  (This includes gender, race, age, religion, sexuality, disability)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please provide details	The towpath is a public facility that is open on a year-round basis for use by all irrespective of gender, race, age, religion, sexuality and disability. In its current condition however use of the towpath may at times be limited for those with mobility issues. It would be our ambition through this project to provide an improved surfacing which would reduce these limitations.

What benefits will the scheme deliver to the local area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic improvements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental improvements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social improvements
Please provide details	<p>We believe that improvements to a canal corridor can have positive impacts across all of the above domains. Some of the tangible benefits which could be felt as a result of this project are:</p> <p>Economic Improvements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increased number of people using canal towpath supporting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Canal side businesses, include those at Burscough Wharf and canal side pubs</li> <li>More people visiting Burscough supporting local shops in high street</li> </ul> </li> <li>Builds on Burscough canal side heritage making it more attractive place to live supporting proposed development in the town.</li> </ol> <p>Environmental Improvements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improved canal side environment.</li> </ol> <p>Social Improvements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Opportunities for people who are not in work to undertake. voluntary and paid work as part of the improvement project</li> <li>Somewhere local people can take exercise on foot and by bicycle all the year round improving their health.</li> <li>Improved access for Burscough Cricket Club linking to the canal.</li> </ol>
What geographic area will the project benefit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Borough wide / beyond <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Town / large village <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighbourhood / local
Please provide details	Borough wide / beyond - As described above – the canal is a strategic asset which is of great importance locally in Burscough but also extends outside the town boundary across West Lancashire and beyond.

#### DELIVERABILITY

Can the infrastructure be delivered now?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes – up 1 year (short term) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes – between 1-5 years (medium term) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – over 5 years (long term) <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please provide details	All funding will need to be in place before we commence this project which may take time to secure – however if all funding were in place then we would estimate that the project could be delivered within a 6 month period. That would be from initial specification, securing and agreeing contract price to completion on site.
Is the project dependent on other drivers / need	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Please provide details	Canal & River Trust are the owner of all towpath on which these works would take place.

Which organisation will be responsible for delivering the infrastructure?	Canal & River Trust
---	---------------------

#### FUNDING / COSTS

What is the anticipated cost of the project?	£180,000 (approx. budget at the moment)
Is there any match funding available?	Burscough Town Council Lancashire Environment Fund
If so, how much?	£unknown at present
What CIL monies are required to deliver the project?	£150,000
Will the infrastructure need maintaining? If so, how will this be funded?	<p>The towpath will need maintaining into the future – it is estimated that the material to be used will have a natural lifespan of years.</p> <p>We will look to secure an ‘adoption’ of the canal through Burscough following on from this project from a local group. Adoption models have worked well when employed elsewhere on the canal network. They secure buy-in from the local community (in its widest sense – schools, businesses, volunteers) and enable us to secure the benefits of our projects longer into the future. They add value to the general works that Canal &amp; River Trust are bound to undertake as part of its charitable objectives within the resources it has available.</p>

Respondent ID	4250753103
Respondent Name	Adrian Owens

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

The Station Approach improvements are a high priority

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary	Support for Option One. Station Approach improvements are a high priority.
Council response	Support for Option One noted. Support for Station Approach improvements noted.

Respondent ID	4293678500
Respondent Name	Alison Wall

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option Two. Spend some of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a large remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

It seems a sensible option.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

They are all good projects, however more money may become available for some of them through future developments.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Yes.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Station Approach?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Demand there already

New allotments in Burscough

Better to wait until new development at Yew Tree Farm is finished.

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Many people already use the footpath. I don't think the open space there merits a car park, although rail users could probably use more spaces.

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Part of option 2. I have no local knowledge of Haskayne.

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Again, I have no local knowledge of Halsall.

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

I would like money to be spent on allotments in Ormskirk.

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option Two. Support Skelmersdale allotments and HCNR. Demand is present in Skelmersdale already. No support for Burscough allotments, Station Approach or Hasall Memorial Hall. Burscough allotments could be aided by Yew Tree Farm. No knowledge of Halsall. Do not think that Station Approach open space merits more car parking, but acknowledged rail station could do with more parking spaces.  
Further suggestion for Ormskirk allotments.

Council response

Support for Option Two noted. Support for individual schemes noted, along with comments.  
Ormskirk allotments have been added to the IDS as a new project (#111)

Respondent ID	4293132696
Respondent Name	Alison Wall

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

They are all good projects. Use the money while it is there.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Also registered support for Option Two.

Council response

Respondent ID	4251445118
Respondent Name	Anne Prescott

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

It's a mess down there and parking very ad hoc.  
Dangerous for children getting to and from school

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

No

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support for Option One. Particular support for Burscough allotments and Station Approach.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for individual proposals noted.

Respondent ID	4251671121
Respondent Name	CATHERINE SYLVIA SHACKLADY

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

The need for additional car parking spaces at Ormskirk station along with improvements to the whole area in general, such as resurfacing and better drainage. You can reduce the waiting list for allotments by providing more of them, allowing people to cultivate their own produce and enjoy outdoor activity to boost their health whilst also interacting with like-minded people in their local area. This option provides a wider spread of the funds available to benefit more people in the Borough more speedily, rather than with-holding most of the money for future use. There is an immediate need for these projects in the Borough.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

No. Too selective and nothing for Ormskirk.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Funds should be awarded. Please refer to my earlier statement.

New allotments in Burscough

Funds should be awarded. Please see my earlier statement.

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Funds should be awarded. Please see my earlier statement.

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Funds should be awarded to promote outdoor activity.

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Funds should be awarded for the benefit of the local community.

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support for Option One. Provides a wide spread of benefits for immediate benefit.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for individual proposals noted, particularly Station Approach and allotments.

Respondent ID	4251871301
Respondent Name	Christopher J. Heppenstall

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

This option will bring tangible benefits to our local communities at a time of overall austerity and cutbacks in local authority funding

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

I broadly agree with the projects under this option although I would place a strong emphasis upon the Station Approach improvements to provide more car parking at Ormskirk Station to relieve pressure on surrounding residential streets

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

I agree

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

See my previous comments

New allotments in Burscough

See my previous comments

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

This project should be given priority

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

See my previous comments

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

See my previous comments

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support for Option One noted, as benefits will be brought to communities immediately amongst funding cutbacks. Largest support for Station Approach.

Council response

Support for Option One. Strongest support for Station Approach proposal.

Respondent ID	4272870156
Respondent Name	DAVID CHEETHAM

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option Two. Spend some of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a large remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

It is a compromise between the two extremes of spend all and save all CIL monies. The spending of money raised by the WLBC by public vote is a major departure from decision making by elected councillors

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

The station approach at Ormskirk should be improved after or as part of the road bridge improvements in own. I am not familiar with either Haskayne or Halsall and consequently cannot comment.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

For reasons given above.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Yes.I consider that the CIL should be spent on replacing missing direction signs on the foot path network in Skemersdale. Many of the concrete support slabs remain in place a but few direction signs. The few remaining ones are faded and carry the Skelmersdale Dev Co Logo. New signs and supports should be provided in the more recently developed parts of the town. These would encourage greater us of the footpath network and be consistent with the Council's transport policy. As each sign would be relatively inexpensive the reinstallation programme could be scheduled to available funds.

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option Two. Achieves a compromise between save all and spend all. Suggest that Station Approach works should be done as part or after the road bridge improvements.  
Further suggestion that CIL is spent on replacing missing direction signs on Skelmersdale town centre footpaths.

Council response

The Council are seeking the views of the public on CIL funding, and will use these to inform their recommendations to Members. However, a final decision on spending will be made by Councillors.  
Works to the rail bridge are still being discussed and nothing formal has been agreed.  
CIL monies cannot be spent on replacing direction signs in Skelmersdale.

Respondent ID	4250846091
Respondent Name	David MUTCH

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Action needs to be taken now.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Undecided

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Burscough allotments?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

We need more parking spaces and it will improve the look of the area, which for many is 'welcome to Ormskirk'

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One, however the CIL monies should only be used for Station Approach. More parking spaces are needed at Ormskirk rail station.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for Station Approach noted.

Respondent ID	4255051021
Respondent Name	George Pratt

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option Two. Spend some of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a large remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

It ensures some immediate benefit to communities, while providing more funds for larger projects in the future

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

All projects should be ring-fenced, so that they are funded directly by developments in their immediate area. The outline Planning application for Yew Tree Farm in Burscough provides for allotment provision. This should be confirmed by a Section 106 order

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Skelmersdale is well provided with existing allotments, and there is no additional demand as a result of development. The lack of CIL-rated development also applies to Haskayne Cutting.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Burscough allotments?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Station Approach?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	No new demand
New allotments in Burscough	No new demand
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	Likely to be affected by additional development across the Borough
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	No new demand
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	Likely to be an additional demand for public amenities due to development

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

There is an existing demand for a new library in Burscough, and additional development will increase that demand. Lancashire County are considering their options, but a contribution from CIL may make all the difference in ensuring a positive decision

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Provision of loading/unloading bays along that stretch of Liverpool Road South in Burscough between Square Lane and The Bull and Dog public house. Compulsory Purchase may be necessary to provide this, and CIL would go a long way in financing this. Such provision would improve traffic flow through the village immeasurably.

## Summary of representation and Council response

### Summary

Support Option Two. Ensures some immediate benefit to communities whilst providing funds for future, larger projects. All projects should be ring-fenced so they are funded directly by developments in their immediate area. Skelmersdale is well provided with existing allotments and there is no additional demand as a result of development. There is also a lack of CIL rated development in relation to HCNR. CIL funds should only be used for Station Approach and Halsall memorial hall extension. There is demand in Burscough for a new library and a contribution from CIL may help the deliver. Also suggest the provision of loading bays along Liverpool Road South.

### Council response

CIL monies can be spent anywhere in the Borough, as required to support new development. They will not be ring-fenced. Parish Councils are given 15% of all CIL receipts in their area which helps ensure that infrastructure can be provided directly in the area of the original development. Burscough library is already listed in the IDS (#22) although details for its delivery are currently unknown. The Council continue to liaise with LCC in relation to a library. Provision of loading bays cannot be classed as infrastructure nor provided through CPOs or CIL.

Respondent ID	4251926488
Respondent Name	George Wensley

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Ormskirk car parking is getting more congested, more car parking is required and I think extending the if the station car park with a foot bridge from the station to the Burscough Rd side would help

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

I am mainly interested in Station Rd car parking

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

No opinion

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Little funding is spent in Ormskirk even though more cars are coming into the town

New allotments in Burscough

Same as above

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

This in my opinion is important there have recently been occasions where at 10:00 am there were no parking spots

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

No opinion

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

No opinion

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

The number of cars within the town, I believe there are more cars trying to park or travel through town

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Car parking and better routing

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support for Option One. Support for additional car parking at Ormskirk Rail Station.

CIL funds should not be granted to Skelmersdale allotments. Not enough funding is spent in Ormskirk. Town needs improved parking to cope with the traffic. Better routing also required.

Council response

Support for Option 1 noted.

Support for Station Approach and improved parking facilities noted.

There are already projects on the IDS to help address traffic congestion and movement in Ormskirk (#4).

Respondent ID	4251593512
Respondent Name	Gordon Johnson

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

As the monies will be more evenly spent across the borough

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

No, money should be spread across the borough more as in the option one projects

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary	Support Option One. Money should be spread across the Borough. Support for all projects.
Council response	Support for Option One noted. Support for proposals noted.

Respondent ID	4246701979
Respondent Name	Hazel Scully

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option Two. Spend some of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a large remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

In my opinion the first year spending can be monitored if two projects have investment. Following years could provide investment in larger projects.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Burscough allotments?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Station Approach?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	More allotments have been required for many years.They provide a theraputic hobby with the benefit of good ,home grown produce.
New allotments in Burscough	
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	The importance of nature is paramount in the present climate of disappearing species.
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option Two. Will allow investment in larger projects. Support for Skelmersdale allotments and HCNR. Allotments have been needed for many years and provide a therapeutic hobby with the benefit of good, home grown produce. Important to protect nature in present climate of disappearing species.

Council response

Support for Option Two noted. Support for Skelmersdale allotments and HCNR noted.

Respondent ID	4255102333
Respondent Name	Ian Yates

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option Two. Spend some of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a large remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Prudent use of money to ensure we can react to any future changes in need

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes; I believe this evenly distributes the CIL money across the borough; targeting specific need

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

No. It seems like the money is being targeted into too narrow a field - the money should be spent on more than 2 projects.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

As most of the new housing will be in Skelmersdale, it seems fair to spend some of the money on new allotments

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Much needed improvements in this key area, which will promote further economic investment

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Halsall is a significant town in the borough and the community would benefit from this

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Improvements on St Helens Road in Ormskirk to better manage the traffic accessing and egressing Edge Hill University. Delineate two lanes on the Ormskirk bound approach to the University.

## Summary of representation and Council response

### Summary

Support Option Two. Prudent use of money to ensure we can react to future changes in need. CIL should be distributed evenly across the Borough targeting specific needs. As most new housing will be in Skelmersdale, it seems fair to spend some of the money on allotments. Station Approach proposal will help provide much needed improvements in area and help promote further economic investment. Halsall community will benefit from the extension. Further suggestion that improvements be made on St Helens Road, Ormskirk to improve traffic in/out of Edge Hill Uni.

### Council response

Support for Option Two noted. Support for projects noted. Ormsirk town centre movement strategy is already listed in the IDS (#4) to provide a package of measures to address congestion and movement in Ormskirk.

Respondent ID	4250839970
Respondent Name	Jane Thompson (Jane Rhompson[sic])

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

We don't know what will happen in the future and there may be no money to spend as it may be cut .

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

These residents need allotments , most don't have gardens

New allotments in Burscough

Allotments are great , encourages local people to grow there own veg etc

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

There is not enough car parking and this will remove pressure off the town centre car parks . The area suggested is ' lying waste ' at the moment and un used and ideal for parking

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

No, the rangers are paid to cut this

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

No ,

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support for Option One. Support use of CIL funds for allotments and Station Approach. Not enough car parking currently at Ormskirk rail station. No support for HNCr and Halsall extension.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for individual projects noted.  
Note that the HNCr proposal relates to the extension of a boardwalk at the nature reserve.

Respondent ID	4232499695
Respondent Name	Jason Grice

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Why do you prefer that option?	None of the options
--------------------------------	---------------------

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?	I cannot believe given the amount of development that is to happen under the local plan that this is all you can do with the CIL you will receive.
--	--

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?	As above
--	----------

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	
--------------------------------	--

New allotments in Burscough	
-----------------------------	--

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	
--	--

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	
---	--

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	
------------------------------------	--

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?	
--	--

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?	
--	--

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Cannot believe given the amount of development that is to happen under the local plan that this is all you can do with the CIL you will receive.

Council response

Comments noted. This is the first year in which the Council have had CIL receipts available to spend. However, it is expected that CIL receipts will be received on an annual basis, as CIL chargeable developments commence. The consultation has asked whether we should spend, or save, CIL monies and on what projects. If we choose to spend CIL monies now, this needs to be on those projects which can be delivered in the short term and which can support new development. Use of future CIL monies will be considered annually.

Respondent ID	4243000966
Respondent Name	Jennifer Walton

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option Two. Spend some of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a large remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

It does leave a reasonable sum of monies for future use. Allotments in Skelmersdale would be a very good idea. Something needs to be done with Haskayne Cutting!

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Yes.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Burscough allotments?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Station Approach?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	It is a very good idea to encourage community growing initiatives in Skelmersdale.
New allotments in Burscough	No comment
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	No comment
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	Something positive needs to be done to make it more attractive and accessible.
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	No Comment

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

No.79: Richmond Park pavilion extension - would enable greater use of the park. No.104: Zebra crossing at Aughton Street, Ormskirk - to lessen the ever present danger to pedestrians on this very, very busy road!

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

No

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option Two. Allows reasonable sum of monies for future use. Support use of CIL monies for Skelmersdale allotments and HCNR.  
Should also consider IDS projects at Richmond Park (#79) and Aughton St (#104).

Council response

Support for Option Two noted. Support for individual proposals noted. Support for IDS #79 and #104 noted.

Respondent ID	4251002798
Respondent Name	Jo Rotheram

### Survey response

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Burscough allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Station Approach?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	
New allotments in Burscough	
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary	Support Option One. Support all proposed schemes.
Council response	Support for Option One noted. Support for proposed schemes noted.

Respondent ID	4250909962
Respondent Name	John Hearn

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

It spreads the money evenly

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

I prefer option one

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary	Support for Option One. Support for all proposals.
Council response	Support for Option One noted. Support for proposed schemes noted.

Respondent ID	4250948099
Respondent Name	John McDonald

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Burscough allotments?

Station Approach?

Haskayne Cutting?

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary	Support Option One.
Council response	Support for Option One noted.

Respondent ID	4251698955
Respondent Name	John Williams

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Most Of The Money Should Be Spent Sooner Than Later

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Station Approach Improvements needed now

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Burscough allotments?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Station Approach?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	Deprived area needs investment for locals
New allotments in Burscough	More money should be spent on worthwhile infrastructure to accommodate increased housing
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	Improvements needed now to ease parking in residential areas and make using rail transport easier
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	Would not benefit enough people
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	Is there a need for it?

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

No

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

No

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support for Option One. Support for Station Approach and Skelmersdale allotments. Skelmersdale needs investment because it is a deprived area. Improvements are needed in Ormskirk to ease parking in residential areas and make access to rail transport easier. No support for Burscough allotments - money should be spent on other infrastructure to accommodate increased housing. No support for HCNr - will not benefit enough people. Question over whether there is a demonstrable need for an extension at Halsall Hall.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for Station approach and Skelmersdale allotments noted.

Respondent ID	4231143562
Respondent Name	Mark Andrew Walters

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Option 1 would benefit the most people in the long term. Healthy exercise is good.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes I do.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

I do, but option 1 has more far reaching benefits for the people.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Burscough allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Station Approach?	No, CIL funds should not be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	Healthy benefits to people - exercise and home-grown produce tastes better and costs less.
New allotments in Burscough	Healthy benefits to people - exercise and home-grown produce tastes better and costs less.
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	Not high on my priority list.
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	It will improve access to the site, allowing more people to enjoy the wonderful sights and sounds of nature in this area. Fresh air and exercise is good for you.
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	Couldn't money be fund-raised for this?

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

No

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

No

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Benefits most people in the long term. Support proposals for Skelmersdale allotments, Burscough allotments and HCNR. They provide health benefits and access to the outdoors. No support for Station Approach or Halsall Hall extension. Could extension not be funded through fund-raising?

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for individual proposals noted, and reason for it.

Respondent ID	4270222024
Respondent Name	Michael Forth

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Creates more car parking in Ormskirk

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Prefer option 1ie car parking in Ormskirk

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Benefits the community

New allotments in Burscough

As 8

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Desperately needed

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Enhances the community

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

As 11

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

N/A

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

---

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Support for Station Approach car parking facilities which are desperately needed. Support for other proposals. Allotments benefit the community, HCNR and Halsall Memorial Hall enhances the community.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for individual projects noted.

Respondent ID	4286256731
Respondent Name	Mrs Elizabeth-Anne Broad

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Lathom South Parish Council supports Option One – spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years. We prefer that option because the money should be used for the public benefit as soon as possible.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

yes

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Burscough allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Station Approach?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	Yes, but funds should be used where they are generated first. This Parish Council wishes to put the benefits as near as possible to the developments that had provided the money, because it helps to offset the concerns that the developments have created.
New allotments in Burscough	The Parish Council would prioritise allotments in Burscough above allotments in Skelmersdale, because Burscough has had to put up with some of the development creating the funds and Skelmersdale already has some fairly significant allotment provision.
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	lower priority than Burscough, Banks, because this Parish Council wishes to put the benefits as near as possible to the developments that had provided the money, because it helps to offset the concerns that the developments have created.
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	lower priority than Burscough, Banks, because this Parish Council wishes to put the benefits as near as possible to the developments that had provided the money, because it helps to offset the concerns that the developments have created.
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	lower priority than Burscough, Banks, because this Parish Council wishes to put the benefits as near as possible to the developments that had provided the money, because it helps to offset the concerns that the developments have created.

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?	
Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?	This council would support the construction of a sports pavilion at Banks (Item 95) because much of the money has been generated in the Banks and nearby areas.

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Money should be used for the public benefit as soon as possible. Support all proposed schemes. Funds should however be used where they are generated. Monies should be used on Burscough allotments over Skelmersdale allotments as Skelmersdale already has allotment provision. Other proposals should consider use of monies in those areas where they are generated.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for all proposals noted.  
CIL monies can be used to fund infrastructure required to support new development anywhere in the Borough. Parish Councils are given 15% of the CIL receipts from their area to ensure that infrastructure can be provided in the area of the development that the money stemmed from.

Respondent ID	4276352854
Respondent Name	Ray Fowler

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Use it or lose it. There is bound to be more development input to CIL in the future.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

NO Too many

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

NO Not enough

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

There is a demand for allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

There is a demand for allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Long over due

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Next year

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Next year

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

There is a demand for allotments in Ormskirk. With Tower Hill under threat with the possible sale of land around the tower the situation worsens. A reasonable solution would be to move the allotments onto part of the Thompson Avenue Recreation Ground. A simple and not too expensive task and well within the CIL remit. Should be included in the high priority schedule and delivered in 2016/17.

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One, although there are too many projects to be provided and too few under Option Two. Monies should be used to fund Skelmersdale and Burscough allotments and Station Approach. There is a demand for allotments in both areas and Station Approach improvements are long overdue. There is a demand for allotments in Ormskirk.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for allotments and Station Approach works noted. Ormskirk allotments have been added to the IDS (#111).

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Support all proposed schemes, particularly Station Approach as parking is already at capacity.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for all proposed schemes noted.

Respondent ID	4228166265
Respondent Name	Ronald Webster

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Why do you prefer that option?

I do not support these options.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

I do not agree. Every effort and all monies should go towards Skelmersdale Railway Station. The money should be used to Prepare the area for Building the Station.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

No. Again as above. The LONG awaited Railway Station should be given ABSOLUTE Priority for ANY Spending Program in the whole of Lancashire. We are MANY Thousands of Citizens without that vital LINK.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Burscough allotments?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Station Approach?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Skelmersdale Railway Station - Preparation of Build area.

New allotments in Burscough

Skelmersdale Railway Station - Preparation of Build area.

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Skelmersdale Railway Station - Preparation of Build area.

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Skelmersdale Railway Station - Preparation of Build area.

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Skelmersdale Railway Station - Preparation of Build area.

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Skelmersdale Railway Station - Preparation of Build area.

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Skelmersdale Railway Station - Preparation of Build area.

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

I do not support these options. All monies should go towards funding Skelmersdale rail station and this should have absolute priority.

Council response

The Council recognise the need for Skelmersdale rail connection and this is listed in the IDS (#45). However, delivery of the rail link will be in the long-term, and is likely to be funded through LEP or DfT funding. Subsequently, CIL monies will not be used for this project meaning that we can look to spend them elsewhere.

Respondent ID	4251737783
Respondent Name	Sandra Morrison

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

This will help boost the local economy e.g. by offering some work opportunities.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Allotments are in short supply and increasing the number will encourage more people to grow their own.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

No, CIL funds should not be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

\*Allotments are needed and help people to maintain a healthy lifestyle.

New allotments in Burscough

As above\*

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Station Approach area is perhaps also the responsibility of the railway services who could be approached to help with improvements.

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

This is an area that those with an interest in the countryside can benefit from.

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Unsure about this one really. If there is to be an increase in housing in Halsall, then maybe an extension is needed.

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

I would like to see more pedestrian/cycle links utilising the disused railway tracks in the area including Ormskirk to Skelmersdale and Ormskirk to Burscough. This would encourage cycling and walking in the area as it would be safer; an also reduce congestion.

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support for Option One.  
Support for allotments in both Skelmersdale and Burscough. No support for Station Approach and Halsall Hall extension. Allotments will help people to maintain a healthy lifestyle. Station Approach is considered to be the responsibility of the railway company and they should be responsible for providing any improvements. Support for HCNR. Support for Halsall Hall extension, providing that additional housing is delivered.  
Further support for pedestrian/cycle link provision and improvements through linear parks.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for allotments noted. Comments in relation to Halsall extension, HCNR and Station Approach also noted.  
Support for pedestrian/cycle links noted. The Council are working to deliver linear parks between Ormskirk and Burscough and Ormskirk and Skelmersdale. These are already listed on the IDS (#11,#12). There are also projects on the IDS to help address traffic congestion and movement in Ormskirk (#4).

Respondent ID	4252685345
Respondent Name	stephen kent

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

There is a need for these projects asap. Saving funds for one big project in future years would likely overlook these smaller schemes.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes - but I would also add a further project. : Ormskirk Allotments. There is as much demand for allotments in Ormskirk as there is in Burscough and Skelmersdale

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Area of greatest demand. Area of health and economic deprivation.

New allotments in Burscough

Very limited existing provision.

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Car park currently in very poor condition. Would compliment existing S106 project to improve public open space. Good green space linkage out of town

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Valuable reserve, good education resource, very poor current access

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Would satisfy local demand

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Ormskirk Allotments - great demand demonstrated by waiting list. Land available at Thompson Avenue playing field or other site. Creation of allotments can be done quickly.

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Ormskirk Allotments

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Support proposed scheme. Further suggest Ormskirk allotments be considered

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for proposed schemes noted. Support for Ormskirk allotments noted. Ormskirk Allotments are now included in the IDS (#111)

Respondent ID	4251452413
Respondent Name	SUE DOWLING

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

These projects need doing now so why save money they are not going to go away but could get forgotten if somethings else crops up

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

No as no explanation given to why money would be saved and what for

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Burscough allotments?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Station Approach?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Haskayne Cutting?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted
Halsall Memorial Hall extension?	Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale	Many residents in Skem do not have gardens, allotments would provide some with space to grow veg/ flowers etc, it offers relaxation, pride and promotes well being.
New allotments in Burscough	Would not of thought quite as needy as above but for all of the same reasons
Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk	Parking is not good at present with not enough spaces and some just muddy puddles in winter. Also inadequate spaces means people use surrounding are to park
Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve	Anything connected to wild life should be promoted and preserved
Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall	Another community facility which is used for many things, if not there how many groups/people would lose out

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Many of the roads in Ormskirk are in need of resurfacing but the Redgate estate is in a terrible state and becomes extremely dangerous after snow fall providing very little grip at all

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Not sure at this time

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Support proposed schemes. Many roads in Ormskirk are in need of resurfacing, particularly the Redgate estate.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for proposed schemes noted.  
Highway resurfacing is a matter for LCC, and is a result of current traffic. It is not considered that it is an infrastructure improvement required as a result of new development and cannot be funded through CIL monies.

Respondent ID	4263294291
Respondent Name	Terry Lake

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

I believe these are essential project which will create new opportunities in the relevant communities and should go ahead as money is now available.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Long waiting lists need to be addressed and allotments provide healthy life style for those involved. As past secretary to WLAF lobbying for this it is good to see an opportunity to progress.

New allotments in Burscough

Again need is there and the regeneration at Richmond Avenue demonstrates community action and initiative. This development would give more people the opportunity to participate in healthy lifestyle activities

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

improved parking/access benifits many.

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

I have worked as a volunteer at this delightful small site and public access to more of this environment would be good for people and wildlife.

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

I know little about this but feel sure this development would be a positive for the Halsall community.

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Not at present

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Allotments/Green space for people and wildlife alongside the Whalleys Houseing development in Skelmersdale whenever it starts.

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Support proposed schemes. Further suggestion to provide allotments/green space in relation to the Whalleys development, Skelmersdale.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for proposed schemes noted. On-site open space will be delivered through the Whalleys site by developers through S106 agreements.

Respondent ID	4231380413
Respondent Name	Whitfield

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Recommended by Lancashire wildlife trust who carefully consider this kind of project. Also, because projects, once started, start to yield benefits. Unlike money in the bank.

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

New allotments in Burscough

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary	Invalid. No full name provided.
Council response	

Respondent ID	4233409462
Respondent Name	Wyn Mason

**Survey response**

We have put forward three options for how we should spend the CIL. Which option do you support the most?

Option One. Spend most of the monies on projects in 2016/17 and save a small remainder to spend in future years.

Why do you prefer that option?

Providing more allotments, better access to nature reserves and generally making life more pleasant will get people out into the fresh air to enjoy themselves!

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option One? If not, why?

Yes!

Do you agree with the projects selected under Option Two? If not, why?

Spend the money so that people can sooner begin to enjoy more of their area.

Do you agree CIL funds should be awarded to the following projects?

Skelmersdale allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Burscough allotments?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Station Approach?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Haskayne Cutting?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Halsall Memorial Hall extension?

Yes, CIL funds should be granted

Please give your reasons for why you think that CIL funds should, or shouldn't, be awarded to each of those projects

New allotments in Skelmersdale

Help people to grown their own food and socialise with other allotment holders.

New allotments in Burscough

Help people to grown their own food and socialise with other allotment holders.

Improvements at Station Approach, Ormskirk

All improvements to stations are welcome, particularly if bicycle security areas are provided.

Improvements to Haskayne Cutting Nature Reserve

Making improvements to nature reserves always attracts more visitors.

Extension at Halsall Memorial Hall

An extension could attract increased useage generating more income.

Are there any other projects on the Infrastructure Delivery Scheme that you consider to be a priority?

Can you suggest any other infrastructure schemes that you think should be included on the IDS?

Summary of representation and Council response

Summary

Support Option One. Support proposed schemes. Bike security facilities would also be a welcome addition at Ormskirk rail station.

Council response

Support for Option One noted. Support for proposed schemes noted. Suggestion of bike security facilities at Ormskirk rail station noted.